

Gatwick Airport Northern Runway Project

Environmental Statement Appendix 11.9.6: Flood Risk Assessment – Annexes 1-2 – Tracked Version

Book 5

VERSION: 2.0 DATE: JULY 2024 Application Document Ref: 5.3 PINS Reference Number: TR020005

Infrastructure Planning (Applications: Prescribed Forms and Procedure) Regulations 2009



Annex 1

Fluvial Mitigation Measures Indicative Designs





Figure 10.1.1 Preliminary Museum Field FCA Design

Environmental Statement: July 2024 Appendix 11.9.6: Flood Risk Assessment - Annex 1

CODE X	
abmit. Work may proce poration of comments i	ed ndicated
ubmit. Work may NOT places not constitute acc	entance or
is, calculations analys	es, test methods, ractor/supplier.
tractors/supplier from	full compliance
UTHORISED_SI	GNATORY
ne Ontrance Survey Landline	and Raster data
ent	
Ordnance Survey on behall of Licence No. 100048869	THMSO IC Crown
C-SK011 Sections	
First Issue	RS DMB GDD
-Cos	Dar Gar Pap
ARON	
U B Hoba	
	AKING OMPLEX
	ASY
ighamshire, NG24 1QQ	Newark,
oo E. Ipsilewalk@ipsgi	oup.com
NDON AI	RPORT
~ /	
and	CCC.
an Daeir	
ng Design	
n Field Mitigatio	on Design
Jalytes	
	GAL Project No.
ements)	Originator's Job No. 20883
	Drawn Date 03.05.2022
Dawn By DATE RS	Status
Date Scale	Review
TE 1:500	Review Reason for Issue
TE 1:500 OC Type-Unique Number	Revision for Issue
TE 1:500 OC Type-Unique Number AL-XX-C-SKI	Review Reason for Issue 010 - 100 All and





Figure 10.1.2 Preliminary Design Museum Field FCA Section









Figure 10.1.3 Preliminary Design Car Park X FCA

Environmental Statement: July 2024 Appendix 11.9.6: Flood Risk Assessment - Annex 1





Figure 10.1.4 New southwest area Project drainage network

Environmental Statement: July 2024 Appendix 11.9.6: Flood Risk Assessment - Annex 1

		Originator's GAL	Job No. ALS11
		Drawn Date 12/12/19	
Date	Drawn By	Status	
2019	LJ	Review	
Date	Scale	Reason for	Issue
2019	1:1250	IFD	
ns/Series-D	OC Type-Unique N	umbér	Revision
00-GA-000023		А	
	1	SO A1 La	andscape





Figure 10.1.5 River Mole Realignment

Work may proceed
on of comments indicated
Work may NOT proceed
ot constitute acceptance or iculations analyses, test methods, octed by the contractor/supplier, ors/supplier from full compliance r release any "holds" placed on
ance Survey and ine and Baster data.
ce Survey on behalf of HMSO, C Crown e No. 1000/8555

		CAL Project	No.
ts)		Originator's Job No. 20883	
		Draier Data 06.06.20	22
	Drawn By	Status	
Е	RS	Design	
	Dcarlo	Reason for	VULV
	1:1000		
e-Unique Number Revision			
-XX-C-SK015 P01		P01	
	1	SO A1 La	andscap



Surface Access Highways Surface Water Drainage Strategy

Annex 2

Introduction

- A2.1 The surface water drainage strategy for the proposed highway works associated with the Project has been developed in consultation with West Sussex County Council (WSCC) and A2.8 Surrey County Council (SCC) as Local Lead Flood Authorities (LLFAs) and the National Highways SES team. The key aspects of the drainage strategy are set out below with this information having informed this FRA assessment.
- The report is based on the final preliminary design submitted as A2.2 part of the Development Consent Order (DCO) submission.
- A2.3 The report addresses the drainage strategy relating to the A2.10 permanent highway works only and does not deal with the temporary construction works and associated drainage considerations. The ES Appendix 5.3.2: CoCP Annex 1 - Water Management Plan [REP3-020] (Doc Ref. 5.3) sets out how water and drainage would be managed during construction. An appropriate drainage strategy would be developed to ensure all flood risks related to construction activities would be mitigated or safely managed within the Project site boundary.
- A2.4 Highway mitigation proposals have been developed to accommodate the anticipated increase in traffic volumes associated with the planned Airport developments. Proposals include junction improvements at the North Terminal roundabout, South Terminal roundabout and Longbridge roundabout. The indicative programme is for construction of these works to take place between 2028 and 2032.

Existing Drainage

- A2.5 Existing drainage information was obtained from various sources including Highways Agency Drainage Data Management System (HADDMS), design/as-built drawings, drainage data from GAL, A2.13 topographical survey (LiDAR point cloud), OS mapping and site walkovers. Limited areas had no information of existing drainage. For these areas, an estimation was made using best engineering judgment.
- A2.6 In total, 18 existing drainage networks have been identified. Each network outfalls to various surface water bodies. The existing catchments are illustrated in ES Appendix 11.9.6 Figure 10.1.10 A2.14 (Doc Ref. 5.3).
- A2.7 The highways improvement elements of the Project interact with the following watercourses designated as a 'Main River' by the Environment Agency: Burstow Stream, Haroldslea Stream,

Longbridge Roundabout

A2.9

- The highway authorities responsible for maintaining the existing highway drainage systems are WSCC for the A23 London Road and SCC for the Longbridge roundabout and the other three associated roads, i.e. A23 Brighton Road, A217 and Povey Cross Road.
- There are seven drainage networks (drainage network 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13 and 14) in this section.
- The highway runoff is collected by kerb and gully, combined kerb drainage (CKD) and iron shallow bridge deck units systems. The gullies and CKD are believed to be connected to ditches which convey the runoff to River Mole for Longbridge roundabout, A23 London Road and Brighton Road. The A217's gullies are believed to be connected to a ditch which convey the runoff to a tributary of Withy Brook. The outfall for Povey Cross network is unknown.

North Terminal Roundabout

- A2.11 The highway authorities responsible for maintaining the existing highway drainage systems are WSCC for the A23 London Road; GAL for the airport access roads connecting to North Terminal roundabout; and National Highways (NH) for the North Terminal roundabout, A23 London Road merge and diverge connections to North Terminal roundabout, Airport Way and A23 London Road diverge to Airport Way eastbound, which form part of the Strategic Road Network.
- A2.12 There are four drainage networks (drainage network 4, 5, 6 and 7) in this section.
 - The highway runoff is collected by kerb and gully and kerb inlets system. These gullies and kerb inlets are connected to carrier drains / ditches and outfall to River Mole for all drainage networks except for the A23 London Road diverge to Airport Way eastbound which outfalls to Gatwick Stream. Drainage network 7 (GAL's roads) benefit from existing attenuation (Gatwick Pond D).

South Terminal Roundabout

The highway authorities responsible for maintaining the existing highway drainage systems are GAL for the Ring Road North and South airport access road connection to South Terminal roundabout; NH for the South Terminal roundabout, M23 Spur motorway and Airport Way; SCC for B2036 Balcombe Road

of M23 Spur.

A2.15

A2.16

The highway runoff is collected by kerb and gully, CKD and slot drainage systems. These are connected to carrier pipes / ditches. All drainage networks west of the Balcombe Road underbridge outfall to Gatwick Stream. Drainage network 3 (GAL's roads) benefit from existing attenuation (Gatwick Pond F). Drainage network of the M23 Spur also benefits from existing attenuation (Pond 8-5) prior to discharging its runoff to a tributary of Burstow Stream. One gully immediately east of Airport Way Rail Bridge directly outfall to an unnamed watercourse. Likewise, a gully immediately east of Balcombe Road underbridge outfall to Haroldslea Stream, Runoff from Balcombe Road is also believed to outfall to Haroldslea Stream.

Drainage Design Proposals

Proposed Highway Works

A2.17

highway work is 2.91 ha.

System

A2.18

Surface Water Management (SWM) proposals have been developed in consultation with the LLFAs (WSCC and SCC) and National Highways. It has also been developed in accordance with the LLFA's policies on SWM and Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDS) and the Design Manual for Roads and Bridges (DMRB) standard CG 501 and LA 113.

North of M23 Spur; and WSCC for B2036 Balcombe Road South

There are seven drainage networks (drainage network 3, 2a, 2, 1b, 1a, 1 and 0) in this section.

The proposed preliminary drainage design layout including details of proposed attenuation features is shown in ES Appendix 11.9.6 Figure 10.1.6 to Figure 10.1.9 (Doc Ref 5.3). The proposed preliminary design catchments are illustrated in ES Appendix 11.9.6 Figure 10.1.11 (Doc Ref. 5.3).

The proposed highway works include a full grade separation upgrade of South Terminal Roundabout and modifications to the M23 Spur; a partial grade separation and signalisation works at North Terminal Roundabout; and roundabout widening and signalisation works at Longbridge Roundabout. A full description of the proposed highway works is set out in section 5.2 of ES Chapter 5: Project Description [REP6-013](Doc Ref. 5.1). The total net increase in paved areas as a result of the proposed

Surface Water Management and Sustainable Drainage

- A2.19 The existing outfalls to surface water bodies are proposed to be retained with provision for attenuation. Discharging to ground via infiltration is not assumed at this preliminary design stage due to the soil type (heavy clay) and lack of information on the ground water table and ground condition.
- A2.20 The drainage strategy seeks to achieve an overall betterment to the existing outfalls on brownfield sites with climate change allowances. This will reduce the flood risks on the receiving surface water bodies.
- Where practical, the discharge from greenfield and brownfield A2.21 sites are proposed to be limited to the 100 per cent (1 in 100) Annual Exceedance Probability (AEP) (Q1) greenfield runoff rates (GRR) for storm event of up to 1 in 100 (1 per cent AEP) for the drainage networks within WSCC boundaries and limited to Q1, Q30 and Q100 GRR for the corresponding storm event for the drainage networks within SCC boundaries with climate change allowances. This is proposed to be achieved via proposed attenuation ponds, basins and swales.
- A2.22 Where limiting to GRR is not practical, it is proposed to not exceed the existing discharge rate as a minimum requirement. Attenuation oversized pipes have been proposed to limit the discharge rates to existing for these drainage networks.
- A2.23 Hydraulic models have been produced for the existing and proposed drainage networks. Betterment will be achieved in discharge rates to the River Mole and Gatwick Stream respectively as a result of the proposed drainage. Proposed net increased in paved areas for drainage networks that outfalls to River Mole and Gatwick Stream forms 90 per cent of the total net increase in paved area of the scheme. Betterment in these two watercourses will have a number of benefits including a reduced risk of flooding for these watercourses.
- A2.24 Existing discharge rates to the tributary of Burstow Stream, Haroldslea Stream and the tributary of Withy Brook will not be exceeded as a result of the proposed drainage.
- A2.25 The design would ensure that the post development runoff would be managed within the site up to 1 in 100-year event with climate change allowance.
- A2.26 Areas of paved catchment are proposed to be removed where practicable to reduce discharge rates and improve water quality to receiving watercourses.

	A2.27	Ponds, basins and swales shall be design in accordance with DMRB CD 532 and CIRIA (C753) SuDS Manual.	A O O 4	Runoff Collection
		Highway Drainage Design Criteria	AZ.34	preliminary design
	A2.28	The highway drainage is to be designed in accordance with DMRB CG 501 and LA 113 for National Highway (NH) unless agreed otherwise.	A2.35	Where a kerb is p other reasons, ker practical for ease
	A2.29	The final drainage design for NH assets shall be self-certified as per Design Manual for Roads and Bridges (DMRB) CG 502 - The certification of drainage design at a future design stage and prior to construction of any drainage work in in relation to the proposed	A2.36	accordance with L be proposed. Grassed surface v vegetative system
6	A2.30	surface access (highway) works associated with the Project. For highways drainage works to be adopted by WSCC and SCC, the requirements and approval process of each local authority		edge of the carriag concrete SWCs sl shall be designed
	40.04	shall be followed.	A2.37	Subsurface draina
	A2.31	guidance from the LLFAS which aligns with both DMRB guidance and industry good practice the proposed highway drainage design adopts the below hierarchy for the preferred method of discharge (in order of preference):	A2.38	The proposed high Diverge to Airport existing gullies to
		 Infiltration to ground (subject to the outcome of further ground investigation to confirm ground infiltration rates, groundwater 		Land Drainage
		 levels, potential contaminated land presence etc. and taking into account localised flood plains considerations) Discharge to a surface water body, Discharge to an existing public surface water sewer, Discharge to an existing highway drain, or other private drainage system, or Discharge to an existing foul or combined sewer where there are absolutely no other options, and only where agreed in 	A2.39	The proposed high land drainage. Lar Balcombe Road u section of the exis before connecting 11.9.6 Figure 10.
		advance with the relevant sewage undertaker.	A2.40	No culverts on Ma
		Allowance for Climate Change		highway works of
	A2.32	Climate change allowances adopted for SWM are based on the Environment Agency's peak rainfall allowance map for the Mole Management Catchment. The 2070s epoch and upper end allowance was adopted which includes 40 per cent climate change allowance for peak rainfall of 1 per cent (1 in 100) AEP event, as well as 35 per cent for 3.33 per cent (1 in 30) AEP event.	A2.41	Balcombe Road u single span bridge on the western sid 11.9.6 Figure 10. culverts proposed for the same ordin designed in accord
	A2.33	Climate change allowance adopted for drainage design is 20 per cent based on DMRB CG 501.	A2.42	Existing culverts E 10.1.9 (Doc Ref 5 (refer to ES Appe location under A23

n Systems

lection systems have not been designed at this stage.

roposed due to adjacent footway provision or rb and gully systems shall be proposed where of maintenance. This shall be designed in DMRB CD 526. Where not practical, CKD shall

water channels (SWCs) or other open is shall be selected as preferred solution at geway where possible. Where not practical, hall be proposed for ease of maintenance. This in accordance with DMRB CD 521.

age shall be provided at lower edge of e needed.

hway re-alignment of A23 London Road Way and changes to Airport Way requires the be re-aligned to the proposed pavement edge if ed with new gullies.

hway works have limited impacts on existing nd drainage is impacted to the southeast of the Inderbridge south of the M23 Spur. A short sting land drainage is proposed to be diverted to Haroldslea Stream as seen in **ES Appendix** 1.9 (Doc Ref. 5.3).

ain Rivers are impacted by the proposed the Project.

inderbridge is proposed to be converted to a e requiring culverting the ordinary watercourse de of Balcombe Road as seen in ES Appendix .1.9 (Doc Ref. 5.3). There are two more short under proposed access from Balcombe Road nary watercourse. These culverts shall be dance with WSCC LLFA Culvert Policy.

EX-CU2 (refer to ES Appendix 11.9.6 Figure .3) for location under M23 Spur) and EX-CU4 endix 11.9.6 Figure 10.1.6 (Doc Ref 5.3) for 23 Brighton Road) are to be extended to



accommodate proposed road widening at these locations. Further information on the condition and capacity of the existing culverts are to be obtained following completion of the DCO process to inform the detailed design proposals.

Exceedance Routes

- A2.43 Drainage design should cope with events that exceeds design capacity of drainage networks so that excess runoff can be safely stored within the site without adverse impact.
- A2.44 Existing site benefits from flood defences from Gatwick Airport. These are proposed to be retained.
- A2.45 300mm freeboard shall be provided for all attenuation ponds and basins. Additionally, overflow weirs shall be provided in ponds, basins and proposed flow control chambers to cope with risk of blockage.

Water Quality

- A2.46 In accordance with DMRB LA 113, assessments for routine runoff and spillage risk have been undertaken using the Highways England Water Risk Assessment Tool (HEWRAT) to determine any pollution risk resulting from surface water routine runoff to the receiving water environment as a result of the proposed highways work of the Project. The final results of the HEWRAT assessment can be found in ES Appendix 11.9.3: Water Quality HEWRAT Assessment [REP5-025](Doc Ref. 5.3).
- Each of the proposed drainage outfalls 'Pass' all parameters A2.47 (Environmental Quality Standards (EQS) for copper and zinc, Runoff Specific Thresholds (RST) 6-hour and 24-hour for copper and zinc and sediment-bound pollutants). Further information is included in the ES Appendix 11.9.3: Water Quality HEWRAT Assessment [REP5-025](Doc Ref. 5.3).

Conclusions

- A2.48 The proposed highways works to be undertaken as part of the Project would result in an increase in highways capacity and a corresponding increase in paved areas. Proposed highway works would be required at three locations: Longbridge Roundabout, North Terminal Junction and South Terminal Junction.
- A2.49 The additional runoff that would result from the increase in paved areas would be stored in new facilities and attenuated to achieve an overall betterment in discharge rates to reduce flood risk at receiving surface water bodies.





Figure 10.1.6 Preliminary Design Proposed Longbridge Roundabout Highways Drainage Layout





Figure 10.1.7 Preliminary Design Proposed North Terminal Roundabout Drainage Layout

-	
	Draft DCO Boundary
	Boundary of West Sussex County Councl & Surrey County Councl
	Existing Public Right of Way
	Proposed Bridge Structure
	Proposed Retaining Walls
\approx	Main River
⇒	Existing Ordinary Watercourse - Highway Ditch
→	Existing Ordinary Watercourse - Other
\succ	Existing Culvert
)	Existing Headwall
	Existing Slot Drainage
	Existing Combined Kerb Drainage
-	Existing Combined Kerb Drainage Outlet
	Existing Gully
\rightarrow	Existing Carrier Pipe
•	Existing Chamber
→	Existing Attenuation Oversized Pipe
	Proposed Kerb / Channel / Combined Kerb Drainage
	Proposed Bridge Kerb Drain
	Proposed Guly / Outlet
⇒===	Proposed Highway Ditch
→	Proposed Land Ditch
\bowtie	Proposed Culvert
)	Proposed Headwall
→	Proposed Filter Drain
→	Proposed Carrier Pipe
→	Proposed Attenuation Oversized Pipe
0	Proposed Attenuation Basin
\mathbf{O}	Proposed Attenuation Pond



Π ___



Figure 10.1.8 Preliminary Design Proposed South Terminal Roundabout – West Drainage Layout

Legend:	
	Draft DCO Boundary
	Boundary of West Sussex County Councl & Surrey County Coundl
	Existing Public Right of Way
	Proposed Bridge Structure
	Proposed Retaining Walls
\approx	Main River
\rightarrow	Existing Ordinary Watercourse - Highway Ditch
	Existing Ordinary Watercourse - Other
\succ	Existing Culvert
)	Existing Headwall
	Existing Slot Drainage
	Existing Combined Kerb Drainage
-	Existing Combined Kerb Drainage Outlet
	Existing Gully
\rightarrow	Existing Carrier Pipe
•	Existing Chamber
→	Existing Attenuation Oversized Pipe
	Proposed Kerb / Channel / Combined Kerb Drainage
	Proposed Bridge Kerb Drain
— —	Proposed Guly / Outlet
⇒	Proposed Highway Dlich
=	Proposed Land Ditch
\bowtie	Proposed Culvert
)	Proposed Headwall
<u> </u>	Proposed Filter Drain
→	Proposed Carrier Pipe
→	Proposed Attenuation Oversized Pipe
0	Proposed Attenuation Basin
	Pronosed Attenuation Pond





Figure 10.1.9 Preliminary Design Proposed Gatwick Spur / South Terminal Roundabout East Drainage Layout

Legend:	
	Draft DCO Boundary
	Boundary of West Sussex County Council & Surrey County Council
	Existing Public Right of Way
	Proposed Bridge Structure
	Proposed Retaining Walls
\approx	Main River
>	Existing Ordinary Watercourse - Highway Dite
	Existing Ordinary Watercourse - Other
Ĭ	Existing Culvert
)	Existing Headwall
	Existing Slot Drainage
	Existing Combined Kerb Drainage
-	Existing Combined Kerb Drainage Outlet
	Existing Gully
\rightarrow	Existing Carrier Pipe
•	Existing Chamber
→	Existing Attenuation Oversized Pipe
	Proposed Kerb / Channel / Combined Kerb Drainage
	Proposed Bridge Kerb Drain
	Proposed Guly / Outlet
>	Proposed Highway Ditch
)	Proposed Land Ditch
\equiv	Proposed Culvert
>	Proposed Headwall
→	Proposed Filter Drain
→	Proposed Carrier Pipe
→—	Proposed Attenuation Oversized Pipe
0	Proposed Attenuation Basin
	Proposed Attenuation Pond





Figure 10.1.10 Indicative Existing Highways Surface Water Catchments





Figure 10.1.11 Preliminary Design Proposed Highways Surface Water Catchments

Legend:



Boundary of West Sussex County Council & Surrey County Councl Draft DCO Boundary Existing Public Right of Way Main River Existing Ordinary Watercourse - Other Existing Outfall Impermeable Proposed Catchment 0 Permeable Proposed Catchment 0 Impermeable Proposed Catchment 1 Permeable Proposed Catchment 1A Permeable Proposed Catchment 1A Impermeable Proposed Catchment 1B Impermeable Proposed Catchment 2 Permeable Proposed Catchment 2 Permeable Proposed Catchment 2A Impermeable Proposed Catchment 3 Permeable Proposed Catchment 3 Impermeable Proposed Catchment 4 Permeable Proposed Catchment 4 Impermeable ProposedCatchment 5 Permeable Proposed Catchment 5 Impermeable Proposed Catchment 6 Permeable Proposed Catchment 6 Impermeable Proposed Catchment 7 Permeable Proposed Catchment 7 Permeable Proposed Catchment 8 Permeable Proposed Catchment 9 Permeable Proposed Catchment 10 Impermeable Proposed Catchment 11 Permeable Proposed Catchment 11 Impermeable Proposed Catchment 12 Permeable Proposed Catchment 12 Impermeable Proposed Catchment 13 Impermeable Proposed Catchment 14 impermeable Existing Gatchment 14